

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/830,721	04/30/2001	Klaus G. Schmitt	GER5272	2146		
7:	590 08/28/2002					
Edward D Murphy			EXAMINER			
701 East Joppa		•	SAETHER, FLEMMING			
Towson, MD	1286		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	3679			

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*					/		
•	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/830,72	I	SCHMITT ET AL.	N			
Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit				
	Flemming		3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce and the second of the seco	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will , cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunicati	on.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is a	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits	is is		
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		sideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WII II OIII COI	Sideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	quirement.					
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	pted or b)□	objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examine	Г.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		ice action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT I	Rule 17.2(a)).		stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	applica	ition).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		4) Interview Summar 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC		. •		

Application/Control Number: 09/830,721

Art Unit: 3679

Specification

The application is a translation from a foreign language and as such may include informalities inherent therewith. The disclosure should be reviewed to ensure id conforms to current U.S. practice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. In addition, claim 3 cannot depend from itself. The "3" should be changed to --2--. Also, in clam 5, the dependency should be changed form "1" to --2-- for proper antecedent basis. The claims were examined as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Karmaschek. Karmaschek discloses an aluminum part with a titanium coating intended

Application/Control Number: 09/830,721

Art Unit: 3679

to be welded to another part particularly in automobile manufacture. The titanium is provided in a chromium free acidic solution and applied to the aluminum part by contacting the solution for a sufficient amount of time. With the coating applied the contact resistance would inherently be lowered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karmaschek as applied to claims 1, 2 and 9 above, and further in view of Dash. Karmaschek does not specifically disclose the part to be welded being a weld stud. Dash discloses a stud for welding. At the time the invention was, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art to make the part disclosed in Karmaschek a stud as shown in Dash because a weld stud provides a recognized utility for a weld part as exemplified in Dash.

The acidic solution being ALODINE 2040 would have been obvious to use since it is a known commercially available acidic solution.

Page 4

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 703-308-

0182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326

for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

lemming Saether

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679

August 24, 2002